BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of)	
Developing a Unified Intercarrier)	CC Docket No. 01-92
Compensation Regime)	

MOTION TO DISMISS

The Missouri Independent Telephone Group¹ ("MITG") hereby move that the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") dismiss the Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by T-Mobile USA, Inc., Western Wireless Corporation, Nextel Communications, and Nextel Partners ("Petitioners") and furthermore deny Petitioner T-Mobile's requested relief set forth in its written Ex Parte Communication dated July 8, 2004.²

1. Petitioners filed a Petition for Declaratory Ruling on September 6, 2002 seeking a declaration from the FCC that ILEC state-approved wireless termination tariffs conflict with sections 251 and 252 as well as the FCC's LEC-CMRS interconnection rules and policies. Such relief, if granted, would effectively preempt state commission action of approving wireless termination tariffs. Petitioners' Petition did not indicate that it served a copy of the Petition on any state commission as required by federal regulation 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206.³

¹ Alma Communications Company d/b/a Alma Telephone Company, Chariton Valley Telephone Corporation, Choctaw Telephone Company, Mid-Missouri Telephone Company, MoKan Dial Inc., and Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company.

² Petition for Declaratory Ruling, CC Docket No. 01-92 (filed Sept. 6, 2002); T-Mobile Written Ex Parte Communication, Wireless Termination Tariffs, CC Docket No. 01-92 (July 8, 2004).

³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, Note 1 states: "In the case of petitions for declaratory ruling that seek Commission preemption of state or local regulatory authority and petitions for relief under 47 U.S.C. 332(c)(7)(B)(v),

- 2. On October 18, 2002, the Montana Local Exchange Carriers filed a Motion to Dismiss the Petitioners' Petition for Declaratory Judgment for failure to comply with the ex parte rules which require Petitioners to serve a copy of preemption petitions on the affected state or local government entity.⁴ On November 1, 2002, the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group filed its Concurrence with Montana LEC Motion to Dismiss.⁵ The MITG supports these pleadings.
- 3. On July 8, 2004, T-Mobile filed a Written Ex Parte Communication⁶ in which it added two new requests: (1) that the FCC find that rural LECS can request a wireless carrier to commence interconnection negotiations, and (2) that the FCC find that wireless termination tariffs are preempted by federal law, and that wireless carriers should be permitted, instead, to "opt in" to "optional" rural LEC tariffs.
- 4. To the extent that there was any potential vagueness to the effect of Petitioner's Petition for Declaratory Ruling preempting state commission actions, T-Mobile makes clear in its recent Written Ex Parte Communication that Petitioners are specifically seeking a finding from the FCC that wireless termination tariffs are preempted by federal law.

the petitioner must serve the original petition on any state or local government, the actions of which are specifically cited as a basis for requesting preemption. ...".

⁴ see Montana Local Exchange Carriers *Motion to Dismiss*, CC Docket No. 01-92, filed Oct. 18, 2002.

⁵ see Missouri Small Telephone Company Group *Concurrence with Montana LEC Motion to Dismiss*, CC Docket No. 01-92, filed Nov. 1, 2002.

⁶ T-Mobile *Written Ex Parte Communication*, Wireless Termination Tariffs, CC Docket No. 01-92 (July 8, 2004).

5. T-Mobile renews its request for preemption of state action. It states that "wireless termination tariffs have been filed in at least 20 states." However, in this renewed request for preemption of state action, there is still no indication that the Petition for Declaratory Ruling has been served on any of the state commissions where wireless termination tariffs have been approved. The FCC's Rules provide a remedy for this continued failure to comply with the ex parte rules: "Such pleadings that are not served will be dismissed without consideration as a defective pleading and treated as a violation of the ex parte rules unless the Commission determines that the matter should be entertained by making it part of the record under Sec. 1.1212(d) and the parties are so informed."

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Missouri Independent Telephone Group respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss the Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the CMRS Petitioners.

Respectfully Submitted,

ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON, L.L.C.

By: /s/ Craig S. Johnson
Craig S. Johnson, MO Bar #28179
Lisa Cole Chase, MO Bar #51502
Col. Darwin Marmaduke House
700 East Capitol
P.O. Box 1438
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Telephone: 573/634-3422 Facsimile: 573/634-7822 email: CJohnson@aempb.com

ATTORNEYS FOR MITG

-

⁷ *Id.* at p. 15.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, on this 3rd day of August 2004 to the following:

Chief, Pricing Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 4445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Chief, Policy Division Wireless Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Victoria Schlesinger Pricing Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Gregory Vadas
Policy Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Gene A. DeJordy Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Western Wireless Corporation 3650 131st Avenue SE, Suite 400 Bellevue, Washington 98006

Leonard J. Kennedy Senior Vice President & General Counsel Nextel Communications, Inc. 2001 Edmund Halley Drive Reston, Virginia 20191

Brent Eilefson Corporate Counsel Nextel Partners, Inc. 10120 W. 76th Street Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344 Qualex International, Portals II 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. CY-B402 Washington, D.C. 20554

Brian T. O'Connor VP, Legislative and Regulatory Affairs T-Mobile USA, Inc. 401 9th Street, N.W., Suite 550 Washington, D.C. 20004

Greg Tedesco Exec. Director, Intercarrier Relations T-Mobile USA, Inc. 2380 Bisso Drive, Suite 115 Concord, CA 94520-4821

Dan Menser Senior Corporate Counsel T-Mobile USA, Inc. 12920 SE 38th Street Bellevue, Washington 98006

Richard M. Rindler
Patrick J. Donovan
Harisha J. Bastiampillai
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
Counsel for U.S. LEC Corp.

James H. Lister Adrian B. Copiz McGuireWoods, LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Montana LECs

W.R. England, III Brian T. McCartney Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC 312 East Capitol Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456

<u>/s/Lisa Cole Chase</u>
Craig S. Johnson/Lisa Cole Chase